

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/26/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/604,787	08/17/2003	Larry W. Collum		1786
75	590 08/26/2004		EXAMINER	
Richard L. Bigelow, Esq. 203 Tremont Street			BLAU, STEPHEN LUTHER	
Newington, C7			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Ç ,			3711	-, ,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		~	tl a .
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/604,787	COLLUM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen L. Blau	3711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	_
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REFTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reft NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	August 2004.		
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ters, prosecution as to the merits is	S
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	n from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		•
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a		<del>-</del>	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		•	d).
	Examiner. Note the attached	2 Office Action of form 1 10-102.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume  2. Certified copies of the priority docume  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume  application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/604,787 Page 2

Art Unit: 3711

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C.
 121:

- Claims 1-13, drawn to a golf club, classified in class 473, subclass
   282.
- II. Claim 14, drawn to a method of for using a golf club, classified in class 473, subclass 409.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of a golf club and method of using a golf club are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method as claimed can be used for other pitching wedges.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,787 Page 3

Art Unit: 3711

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Richard L. Bigelow on 24 August 2004 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of the golf club, claims 1-13. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 14 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

### Oath/Declaration

5. It was not executed in accordance with either 37 CFR 1.66 or 1.68. The oath is not signed.

## Specification

- 6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- a. Throughout the specification there are missing spaces between sentences (i.e. paragraph 0004 lines 10, 13, 16, 18, 31, etc...).
  - b. In paragraph [0005] line 1 the word "SLES" does not make sense.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,787 Page 4

Art Unit: 3711

c. In paragraph [0006] lines 11-12 the word "Figure 2." in the middle of the paragraph does not make sense.

d. In paragraph [0004] line 1 the words "INVENTIONT" and "Whe" are misspelled.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liao in view of Bamberger, Marciniak, Honma and Mason.

Liao discloses a club used for chipping [0002] having a loft angle of 38, 44 and 50 degrees (Fig. 6, Claim 1), a lie angle of 68 degrees (Fig. 7, Claim 1), and a shaft length of 34 inches or so ([0023, line 1]).

Liao lacks a shaft length of 36.5 inches, a metallic base plate attached to a wooden head with at least two screws, a wood head being persimmon, and a plastic insert placed in and adhesively attached to an indentation of a face of a head.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,787

Art Unit: 3711

Bamberger discloses a club having a length of 35 inches (Col. 2, Lns. 37-38) used for chipping (Abstract) having a wood type construction using material other then metal and a solid head construction while attaining the general shape of a wood head (Col. 4, Lns. 37-42) in order to glide through and/or along grass, sand, or even dirt surfaces more easily and consistently than an iron when used by a golfer (Col. 3, Lns. 1-6). In view of the patent of Bamberger it would have been obvious to modify the club of Liao to have a wooden type head in order to have a club which glides through and/or along grass, sand, or even dirt surfaces more easily and consistently than an iron when used by a golfer.

Marciniak discloses wooden type head being formed of a persimmon (Col. 2, Lns. 27-28) having a sole plate attached with at least two screws (Fig. 3) and a face plate made of plastic adhesively attached to an indentation in the form of cemented (Col. 3, Lns. 27-35). In view of the patent of Marciniak it would have been obvious to modify the club of Liao to have head made of solid material of persimmon in order to utilize the advantages of persimmon wood for a golf head. In view of the patent of Marciniak it would have been obvious to modify the club of Liao to have a sole plate attached to a wooden head with at least two screws in order to protect the bottom of the head from rocks. In view of the patent of Marciniak it would have been obvious to modify the club of Liao to have a plastic insert placed in and adhesively attached to an indentation of a face of a head in order to have elastic properties for a face which plastic produces.

Honma discloses a sole plate being metallic (Col. 2, Lns. 62-68). In view of the patent of Honma it would have been obvious to modify the head of Liao to

Application/Control Number: 10/604,787

Art Unit: 3711

have a sole plate being metal in order to utilize a material used in the art for

making base plates attached to wooden heads.

Mason discloses clubs for pitching having a shaft length between 35 and

Page 6

40 inches (Col. 4, Lns. 36-40). In view of the patent of Mason it would have been

obvious to modify the club of Liao to have a shaft length being 36.5 inches in

order to fit a golfer whose hands have a higher vertical height.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Steve Blau whose telephone number is (703)

308-2712. The examiner is available Monday through Friday from 8 a.m. to 4:30

p.m.. If the examiner is unavailable you can contact his supervisor Greg Vidovich

whose telephone number is (703) 308-1513. Any inquiry of a general nature or

relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group

receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858. (TC 3700 Official Fax

703-872-9306)

slb/ 24 August 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINER